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WHY BRAINSTORM YOUR SENTENCING CASES?

Every good sentencing advocate and trial lawyer realizes that we win cases on the facts, not on the law. Judges and jurors are persuaded not by legal technicalities, but by a theory of defense that is rooted in the facts of the case, and by a good, factual story that convinces them that our client is not guilty, or that we should get the sentence we want.

One of the greatest obstacles to successful sentence advocacy, is that we often tend to accept, or buy into the prosecution’s version of the facts. When we do this, the jury hears a story that is framed by police testimony, and ends with our client being not just the guilty party, but a person deserving of the most serious punishment. To mitigate punishment, we must develop a different factual narrative from that offered by the prosecution.

Developing a different factual narrative from that of the prosecution, and devising a sentencing theory based in the facts of your case and in your client’s life are only possible if you have first explored and analyzed those facts in depth. Brainstorming is the method we suggest for developing your facts.

The basic reasons we advocate starting your sentencing preparation by brainstorming the case are simple:

- By the time we reach the sentencing phase of a case, we have already become so involved in the facts, issues, and personalities of the case that it is easy to overlook ideas and facts that might help us get a favorable sentence.

- Because we get so close to the cases we litigate, it is also almost impossible for us to find new factual perspectives and develop new ideas without help from others. Or to put it another way:

- When preparing for sentencing, many heads are a lot better than one.

WHAT BRAINSTORMING IS NOT

- Brainstorming is not a “touchy-feely,” informal get together.

- Brainstorming is not a theoretical or academic exercise. It is meant to generate practical ideas that will allow you to develop a persuasive theory of defense and a persuasive storyline that will ultimately convince the judge or jury to reach the conclusion you want.

- Brainstorming is not the equivalent of hanging out in the office and discussing your case with a co-worker.
Brainstorming is not meant to just reinforce the ideas you have already developed about your case. To the contrary, it is meant to develop new ideas and perspectives.

WHAT BRAINSTORMING IS

- Brainstorming is a formal process for developing and analyzing the facts of your case, and for gaining new, creative perspectives on your case.

- Brainstorming is a way to reality-check the strategies and tactics you are considering for sentencing, and to make an intelligent decision about what will work and what will not work.

- **Brainstorming Must be Inclusive** – At the start of your brainstorming session the goal is to get as many facts and perspectives as possible. You want quantity at this stage, not necessarily quality. As you progress with your case, you will be making decisions as to what can be used and what cannot be used. But at the brainstorming phase, all you want is to get as much on the table as possible, to give you as many options as possible when you get around to making decisions about strategy and tactics. Quantity at the start of the process helps generate quality at the end.

- **Brainstorming Must be Non-Judgmental** – Some of us have been taught that all facts can be divided into good facts, bad facts, and facts beyond change. While this formulation may be useful later on, the brainstorming phase is much too early to make these judgments. In fact, one goal of brainstorming is to be able to make an intelligent decision about what facts are really good, what facts are really bad, and what facts are really beyond change. One of the best things about the brainstorming process is that we often find that our initial judgments about these factors is incorrect. Facts we thought would be bad can be made good. Facts initially thought to be beyond change can be successfully challenged. So when brainstorming the facts of a case, do not reject any idea out of hand, and do not be too quick to shoehorn facts into pre-determined categories.

- **Brainstorming is Associative** – One of the best things about brainstorming is that if you are truly inclusive and non-judgmental, you will begin to start associating between diverse ideas and facts that are being brainstormed. One person’s suggestion will give rise to a different, and possibly better formulation. Brainstorming should encourage this kind of creativity and association, which is another reason to be inclusive and non-judgmental.
HOW TO BRAINSTORM YOUR CASE

1. Find at least 3 other people to do the brainstorming.
   a. There should be at least three, to facilitate a real exchange of ideas and perspectives.
   b. They do not have to be lawyers, social workers, or investigators. In fact, people outside our field of work often provide a more realistic perspective on what judges and jurors will and will not accept.

2. Set aside a specific time to do the brainstorming.
   a. It should be at least an hour or two.
   b. Give everyone sufficient time to prepare and set aside the time.

3. If there are any essential documents, such as police reports, medical reports, a confession, etc. be sure to give all of the brainstormers copies in advance.

4. Start the brainstorming session by giving everyone a 5-10 minute summary of the facts of the case. If there is a particular problem you want to address, define the problem, but do not restrict the ability of the group to redefine the problem if they want.

5. After you spend 5-10 minutes describing the facts, give the group another 10-15 minutes to ask you questions about the case.

6. When the time for questions is over, stop asking and answering questions. This will sometimes be hard to do, but if the questions go on for too long, the group may forget to do any real brainstorming, and all you will wind up doing is reinforcing the original answers and perspective of whoever’s case it is.

7. Have the group brainstorm the case. This will involve analysis, free-association, and generally tossing around facts that attract your interest, and expounding ideas about what those facts mean and how they can be used.

8. When the group starts to brainstorm, the person whose case is being brainstormed should keep quiet. The purpose of the session is not for him or her to defend his or her original ideas. It is to gain new perspectives from the others. Let everyone else talk. Listen to them.

9. Write down everything everyone says. Write them out as close to verbatim as possible. The purpose of this is twofold: (1) To make sure that nothing is forgotten by the end of the session; (2) To permit participants to compare and make associations between things that were said at various times in the session.
WHAT TO DO WITH THE FACTS YOU HAVE BRAINSTORMED

Brainstorming should provide enough facts and enough ideas about those facts to enable you to develop a persuasive sentencing theory of defense.

Brainstorming should provide enough facts and enough ideas about those facts to enable you to develop a storyline that will persuade the judge or jury to give you the sentence you want. To this end, the brainstorming should help you define the characters in the story of your case, and the role those characters will play; the setting in which your story takes place; and the sequence in which you will tell the story of your case at trial.

FOLLOWING UP – WHAT COMES NEXT

Preparing for the sentencing phase of a criminal case is not a linear process. As we learn more about the case, our views change. We revise our sentencing theory, adjust our strategies and tactics, and go out to do more investigation. Brainstorming is an important first step in the process. After brainstorming, you may see the need gather more facts, interview more witnesses, obtain more documents. If this is what happens after the brainstorming session, the session has been a success -- you have obtained a better idea of what needs to be done to succeed at sentencing. After brainstorming, you may feel that you are ready to develop a sentencing theory that will guide future strategic and tactical decisions. If brainstorming has put you in a position to construct a sentencing theory, it has also been a success.